|       |   | Academic & /   | Academic & Administrative Audit : 2024-25  Academic & Administrative Audit : 2024-25   |                                     |   |  |
|-------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|       |   |  |  | Self                                | Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ | Recommendation/Suggestions by Academic |
| o.    | Item  | Records to be verified   | Bench Marks  | assessment<br>by the<br>Institution | B (Satisfactory)/C (to improve))                              | Advisors (AA*)                         |
|       |   | . 1-0  | I-CURRICULAR ASPECTS   | •                                   |   |  |
| A     |   | Curricular   | Curricular Planning and Implementation   |                                     |   |  |
|       | - >   | nutes  | Grade A, if 60% and above modification in syllabi of all cources offered;  |                                     |   |  |
| , , , | Curriculum Design and Development (For Autonomous Colleges)   | 3. Academic Council Minutes<br>4. Copies of course wise curriculum   | offered; offered; Grade C, if less than 40% modification in syllabi of all cources offered;  | NA                                  | NA  |  |
| 2     | Implementation of Annual Institutional Plan                   | <ol> <li>Annual Institutional Plan</li> <li>College &amp; Departmental Activity Register</li> <li>Departmental Annual Curricular Plan</li> <li>Teaching Diary</li> </ol> | Grade A, if all the four records match with one another Grade B, if two records match with one another Grade C, if any two records match with each other | >                                   | A   |  |
| , ω   | Departmental Records to be verified at<br>Institutional level | Sem wise Curricular Plans     Departmental Meeting Minutes     BOS records     Pos/PSOs/COs records     Extra curricular & Co curricular Activity reports                | Grade A, if all the two records are maintained Grade B, if any four records are maintained Grade C, if any two records are maintained                    | æ                                   | B   |  |

| No or other | 7  | 6  | S  | B   |  |
|-------------|--|--|--|---|--|
|             | Coverage of Syllabus (Average Percentage)  | Implementation of Online Courses (M00Cs etc)   | Institution's contribution to enrichment of curriculum   |   | Details of Certificate Courses/ Value added Courses addressing cross cutting issues introduced in the last two Years   |
|             | Department wise reports about coverage of syllabus   | Departmental evidences of online courses with proofs (Reports and Certificates)  | 1.Department wise Reports on cross cutting issues related to professional ethics, gender, human values, environment and sustainability into the curriculum; 2. Course wise reports from Departments indicating justification for revising/enriching the curriculum | Academic F                                    | Department wise Reports of Certificate Courses / Value added Courses conducted addressing cross cutting issues   |
| -           | Grade A, if 90% and above syllabus is covered by the Departments Grade B, if 60% - 90% syllabus is covered by the Departments Grade C, if less than 40% - 60% and above syllabus is covered by the Departments | Grade A, if all Departments Conduct the courses Grade B, if 50% and above Departments Conduct the courses Grade C, if below 50% Departments conduct the course | Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 50% Departments produce the reports   | Academic Flexibility & Curriculaum Enrichment | Grade A, If all Departments Conduct the courses Grade B, if 50% and above Departments Conduct the courses Grade C, if below 50% Departments conduct the course |
| -           | >  | C  | >  |   | O  |
| _           | Þ  | >  | >  |   | O  |
|             |  |  |  |   |  |

| 5 In  | В   | 4 th  | , 3 G P  | 2 C  | 1  | A                             | 1                                  |               |   | C                      |  | This 194  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---|------------------------|--|---|
| Institutional mechanism for assessing the learning levels of the students   |   | Socio-economic status of students enroled for the last two years  | Percentage of seats filled for various reserved categories for the last 2 years (SC/ST/OBC/DIVYANGAN etc) (Seats earmarked / Seats filled) | Demand Ratio (Group wise) (No.of applications received/Sanctioned Seats) (Overall ratio)   | Average enrolment percentage for the last 3 years (Total no. of students admitted / Sanctioned Seats x 100)                      |                               |                                    | Overall Grade | Collection , Consolidation, Analysis and Action<br>taken  |                        | No. of New UG & PG Programmes introduced during the last two years |   |
| Consolidated statement about mechanism and list of Department wise Slow and Advanced Learners validated by IQAC and verified by Principal (250-500 words) | Cat   | Group wise and Year wise report prepared by the office and verified by the Principal                    | Year wise, Category wise report of the Principal   | Year wise report of the Principal  | Admission register from the office Consolidated Report from the Principal  | St                            | II-TEACH                           |               | 1. Collection and Consolidation of feedback from students, faculty, alumni, and parents; 2. Analysis 3. Action Taken Reports (BoS Minutes to be compared and verified in the case of Autonomous Colleges) |                        | List of New UG & PG Programmes along with affiliation certificates |   |
| Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented  | Catering to Student Diversity   | Grade A, if all the reports are maintained Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade C, if not maintained | Grade A, if all the reports are maintained Grade B, it improperly maintained Grade C, if not maintained                                    | Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade C, if only one year report is produced | Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, it two year reports are produced Grade C, if only one year report is produced | Student Enrolment and Profile | II-TEACHING, LEARNING & EVALUATION |               | Grade A, if all the two are done Grade B, if 1 (Collection and Consolidation of feedback) & 2 (Analysis) are done Grade C, if 1 (Collection and Consolidation of feedback) is done                        | Feedback on curriculum |  | Grade A, if 2 or more programmes are introduced Grade B, if only one programme is introduced Grade C, if no programme is introduced |
| Þ   |   | Α   | Α  | В  | >  |                               |                                    | Α             | >   |                        | 0  |   |
| A   |   | Α   | <b>A</b>   | В  | Þ  |                               |                                    | A             | >   |                        | >  |   |
|   | 10 To |   |  | 2  |  |                               |                                    |               |   |                        | 3  | 5 34  |

| 100 | Teachir<br>13  | 12 Final y   |   |   | A) = -   |  |  |   | 0 5 7 5 5 5  |
|-----|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
|     | Teaching Diaries & Teaching/Semester Plans in Prescribed Formats   |  |   | Conduct of Bridge course and Remedial Classes taken for slow learners (Subject Wise/Semester) | vities such as SIP (Student mme) / Value Education/Gender Inaging Peer pressure ge course and Remedial Classes sarners (Subject  | dent ion/Gender  | dopted d tools for ident ion/Gender  | dopted tools for ident ion/Gender   | dopted dopted dopted ident ion/Gender  |
|     | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   |   | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC  | Reports by Committees concerned Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   | Lecturerwise and Department wise proofs validated Grade A, if 75% of 50% Departments subn Principal Grade A, if all active conducted Grade Con | Department wise Reports on Experiential learning, Participative Learning, Problem Solving methods with model examples - validated by IQAC and verified by Principal Lecturerwise and Department wise proofs validated by IQAC and verified by Principal Reports by Committees concerned Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   | valid:  | rning nods w   |
|     | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports | Departments submit reports Grade C, If <50% | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if                                 | Grade A, if all activites conducted Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade C, if any 2 activities conducted  Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Departments submit reports Grade A, if all activities conducted Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade C, if any 2 activities conducted Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if   | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports  Departments submit reports  End Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports  Departments submit reports  Grade A, if all activities conducted Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade C, if any 2 activities conducted  Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments of the De | Teaching Learning Process  Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports  Departments submit reports  ated Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports  Departments submit reports  Grade A, if all activites conducted Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments Grade B, if 50% | Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented  Peaching Learning Process  Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports  Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50%  Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50%  Departments submit reports Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if any 2 activities conducted  Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if any 2 activities conducted |
| ;   | >  | <b>&gt;</b>  | ٨   |   | A  | > >  | > > >  | > >   | > > >  |
|     | Δ.   | A  | >   |   | Α  | A A  | A A A  | A A A   | A A A  |
|     | y<br>Y   | *:   |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |

| 21 S   | G  | 20 tł   | 19 C  | 18 W C   | 17 pl  | 16 Pg  | 15 su   | 14 sh  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 21 Staff Attendance  | A NOTE OF THE PERSON OF THE PE | The number of classes (per week) engaged by 20 the Principal  | Academic, Cultural & Sports events organized (International/ National/ State/ District/ Inter Collegiate/ College Level   | Co-Curricular Activities (Academic) (Student Seminars/Quizzes, debates/elocution, essay writing/ Projects/Peer Learning/ Group Learning/ Youth Parliament etc.,) | No. of Teachers completed courses on MOOCS platform/ARPIT, MIT, Coursera etc.,   | No. of lessons presented (4 Quadrants) in LMS portal/ Presentation tube by Faculty   | Usage of Virtual classes (Minimum 2 classes per Department wise Virtual Class reports Log books related to the Virtual Class Log books related to the Virtual Class I | (Plickers, Kahoot, Web Quest etc.,) Lesson plans  14 should reflect ICT based planning in teaching |
| Staff Attendance Registers &TLP Records (Current<br>Year)  |  | Lesson Plans and Teaching Diary by Principals   | Reports by Departments and Committees validated by IQAC   | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   | Course completion certificates by Departments  | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   | Department wise Virtual Class reports Log books related to the Virtual Class rooms  | Department wise ICT usage reports  |
| Grade A, if 75% attendance through out the year Grade B, if 60% attendance through out the year Grade C, if <60% attendance through out the year | Teacher Profile Quality  | Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only one is maintained Grade C, if only classes are engaged | Grade A, if International and National events are organized (at least 5) d Grade B, if State level events are organized (at least 5) Grade C, if District and College level events are organized (at least 5) | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% of the Departments submit reports           | Grade A, if 75% of the Teachers submit Certificates Grade B, if 50% of the Teachers submit Certificates Grade C, if <50% of the Teachers submit Certificates | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% of the Departments submit reports | Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports                              | 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50%  Departments submit reports                        |
| <b>&gt;</b> _  | 0.08   | C   | A   | A  | В  | В  | Α   | >  |
| >  |  | В   | Α   | Α  | B  | Α  | В   | >  |
|  |  |   |   |  | +  |  |   |  |

**有有音音音音音音音音** 

•

•

| 26  | H                              | 25  | 2.   | 2:  | 2:   |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| 2M -M  |                                | Seminars/conferences/workshops attended in<br>the last two years (Overall Staff Attended)   | National/ International/ Regional 24 Seminars/conferences/workshops organised in Reports by Departments validated by IQAC the last two years   | 23 Full time teachers with Ph.D   | Percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts in the last two years 22 (Regular+ Contract + Guest) |
| 1. Announcement of date (Circular) 2. Announcement of syllabus for exam (Department Meeting minutes and Circular) 3. Blue print of the test as per Bloom's Taxonomy 4. Conduct of exam without malpractices (Circular showing the internal squad) 5. Correction and distribution of scripts within the prescribed time 6. Feedback and guidance to the students on One on One basis (Report by Teacher) | Eval                           | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC  | Reports by Departments validated by IQAC   | Consolidated Report from the Principal  | Consolidated Report from the Principal   |
| Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 75% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 75% Departments produce the reports  | Evaluation Process and Reforms | Grade A, if International and National events are attended (at least 5 per year) Grade B, if State level events are attended (at least 5per year) Grade C, if District and College level events are attended (at least 5per year) | . Grade A, if International and National events are organized (at least 5 per year) Grade B, if State level events are organized (at least 5per year) Grade C, if District and College level events are organized (at least 5per year) | Grade A, if 75% teachers with Ph.D Grade B, if 60% teachers with Ph.D Grade C, if <60% teachers with Ph.D | Grade A, if 100% full time teachers Grade B, if 50% full time teachers Grade C, if <50% full time teachers     |
| >   |                                | >   | С  | >   | >  |
| >   |                                | >   | <b>C</b>   | Α   | В  |
|   |                                |   | Sch Conduct -<br>Seivers at<br>Intional live   |   | 2 / 1 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /  |

| . 32   | ų                           | 31.   | 30  | TI        | 29  | 28  | 27   |
|--|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------|---|---|--|
| Record of Feed back and analysis on Teachers<br>by Students  |                             | Evaluation of attainment of Programme Outcomes and Course Outcomes  | Announcement of Programme Outcomes and Course Outcomes by the Departments   |           | 29 Student mentoring report (Year wise)   | 28 Teacher wise result analysis (Last Two years)  | Record of Continuous Internal Assessment (CIA) - Conduct of Internal Examinations-Internal assessment  |
| Feedback Collection, Consolidation, Analysis and Action taken on a. Curriculum b. Facilities c. Teachers |                             | Programme wise Report on the comparative analysis of Results, Students' progression to Higher Education and Employability   | 1.Department wise displays and announcements in the classrooms, in the institution and on the website 2. Registers showing POs, PSOs and COs alongwith the notification of announcement and the signatures of students  | Student P | Teacher wise periodical Mentoring Reports   | Teacher/College wise reports  | Department wise reports regarding 1. Mid exams, Seminar Reports, Assignment books, Projects and any other tools of Internal Assessment 2. Departmental Internal Marks Register for CIA verified by the Principal |
| Grade A, if all the two are done Grade B, if any two are done<br>Grade C, if only one is done            | Student Satisfaction Survey | Grade A, if all Programmes are evaluated Grade B, if 75% Programmes are evaluated Grade C, if <75% Programmes are evaluated | 1.Department wise displays and announcements in the classrooms, in the institution and on the website 75% Departments maintain all records Grade C, if <75% Legisters showing POs, PSOs and COs alongwith the notification of announcement and the signatures of students |           | Grade A, if 100% Teachers maintain all records Grade B, it 75% Teachers maintain all records Grade C, if <75% Teachers maintain all records | Grade A, if 100% Students pass percentage is achieved Grade B, if 75% Students pass percentage is achieved Grade C, if <75% fStudents pass percentage is achieved | Grade A, if all Dep<br>75% of the Depar<br>Grade C, if below   |
| >  |                             | В   | >   |           | Þ   | A   | >  |
| >  |                             | ₩   | B   |           | <b>A</b>  | >   | >  |
|  |                             |   | x 1 2   |           |   |   |  |

.

| 3, | 7   |                                      | 6  |        | 5   |   | В       | 4  |  | ω   |                                       | 2   | T                                       | 1  | 2                                    | •                                       | T             | 33   |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------|---|---|---------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|--|
|    | nation<br>fer of  | The Institution has an ecosystem for | international seminars/ workshops etc to Foster research culture.                              | tution |   | Grants received from Govt & Non Covt Agencies |         |  | Maior/Minor/Other Research Projects Completed  | Research Scholars working for Ph. D   |                                       | Research Guides in the College                                      | Research Promotion Council              | search Centre, Centre  |                                      |   | Overall Grade | Percentage of Students participated in Student Satisfaction Survey conducted at the end of 33 each Academic Year (Programme wise) (Previous Academic Year) |
|    | Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal   | 100 May 1                            | Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal                            |        | verified by the Principal   | Resource                                      | Dogumen | by the Principal   | Donath Provide to the second s | Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal               |                                       | Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal | by the Principal                        | Sanctioned Letter Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified                     |                                      | III-RESEARC                             |               | Reports by IQAC  |
|    | Grade A if Centre for innovation, incubation and Entrepreneurship facility is established and facilitated atleast one start up; Grade B if only innovation facility is established Grade C if no such centre is established till now. | CALC III                             | Grade A, if completely extended Grade B, if partially extended Grade C, if there is no funding |        | Grade A, if > 3 Lkh Grade B, if <3lkh Grade C, if there is no funding | kesource Mobilization for Research Purpose    |         | Grade A, if MRP submitted Grade B, if MRPis pending Grade C, if there is no Research Project | Grade C, if there is no Research work  | Grade A, if Active Research work is going on Grade B, if Research work is pending | Grade C, if < 30% are Research Guides | Grade A, if > 50% are Research Guides Grade B, if > 30% are         | Grade C, if there is no Research Centre | Grade A, if Research Centre is functioning Grade B, if Research<br>Centre is established | Promotion of Research and Facilities | III-RESEARCH, INNOVATIONS AND EXTENSION |               | Grade A, if 80% of students participated Grade B, if 50% of students participated Grade C, if < 50% of students participated                               |
|    | C   | 3 1                                  | С  | _ [    | С   |   |         | С  | ,  | 0   | C                                     |   | (                                       | . ر  |                                      |   | Α             | >  |
|    | С   |                                      | C  |        | С   |   |         | С  | ď  | ,   | C                                     |   | c                                       | Ò  |                                      |   | A             | >  |
|    | 5,  |                                      |  |        |   |   | projech | Suggested for  |  |   |                                       |   |   |  |                                      |   |               |  |

| 9 ,  | Books Published for the last two years (Single Author/Co Author)  No of Papers published per teacher in the UGC notified journals (SCOPUS, Web of Science, ICI) for the last 2 years |
|------|--|
| E    | 11 No.of Indexed Publications (h&i)  |
| . 12 | No. of Books and Chapters in edited volumes/Books and papers published in National and International conferences (with ISBN)   |
| 13   | Awards and Achievments for Research during the last two years  |
| Ú    | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1   |
| 14   | Extension Activities carried out through Govt/ Govt recognized bodies  |

|   | e desc  | 9 0   | 64.  | 19  | . 18   | t                             | 7   | 16  | 15   |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| D. Field trips  | C. Internship   | B. Student Exchange   | A. Faculty Exchange  | 19 Details of collaborations/linkages for | ount   |                               | participating in extension activities with Government/ Non-Government Organisations above mentioned (Programme wise for the last 2 years) |   | Interior of extension programmes conducted in colloboration with Community/NGOs through RRC/NSS/NCC/Red Cross/YRC/Swachh Bharath/WEC etc., |
|   | validated by IQAC and verified by Principal 2. Properly signed MOUs   | 1. Department wise Report on Activities   |  | 16  | <ol> <li>Department wise Report on Activities validated<br/>by IQAC and verified by Principal</li> <li>Audited Statements</li> </ol>   | Cor                           | Detailed Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal (Government&Non Government seperately)                          | Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal   |
| Grade A, if all Departments conducted Grade B, if 50% Departments conducted Grade C, if below 50% Departments conducted | Grade A, if more than 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade C, if 5 Students attended | Grade A, if more than 2 Students exchanged Grade B, if 1 Student exchanged Grade C, if no Student exchanged | Grade A, if more than 2 Faculty members exchanged Grade B, if<br>1 Faculty member exchanged<br>Grade C, if no Faculty member exchanged |   | Grade A, if more than 25,000/- generated through consultancy Grade B, if more than 10,000/- generated through consultancy Grade C, if less than 10,000/- generated through consultancy | Consultancy and Collaboration | Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged (Considering all two years)     | Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged (Considering all two years) | Grade A, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 7 activities are conducted Grade C, if < 7 activities are conducted                |
| >   | Α   | С   | >  |   | C  |                               | >   | В   | >  |
| >   | A   | C   | >  |   | С  |                               | A   | 80  | >  |
|   |   | Suggested for collaborations.   |  |   |  |                               |   |   |  |

.

| Dei<br>util<br>4 fac  | В  | 3 Da   | De ii. De iv.   | 1 b.  | A   | 1  |               | 22 Wi<br>Ac   | Do<br>In:<br>20 ho   | in .  |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---------------|---|--|---|
| Details of procedures for maintianing and utilizing physical and academic support facilities (Classrooms, Computers, Labs, Library 2 and Sports Complex)  |  | Daily usage of Library by teachers and students   L (percentage)   | tails of e-journals e-sodhsindhu Sodhganga e-books  | Details of Library Automation  a. Name of the iLMS software b. Status of automation c. Year and Version |   | The Property of the Property o | Overall Grade | Whether the institution conducted workshop/seminars on Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), Industry-Academia Innovative practices       | Details of MOUs with national and international 1. Properly signed MOUs Institutions, Universities, Industries, Corporate 2. Department wise Report Policy P | E. OJTs                                     |
| Details of procedures for maintianing and utilizing physical and academic support policy approved by the Principal facilities (Classrooms, Computers, Labs, Library 2. Report showing the expenditure towards repairs, and Sports Complex)  1. Infrastructure procurement and maintenance procurement and maintenance and upgradation | The state of the s | Daily usage of Library by teachers and students   Librarian's Report on regular footfall and e- footfall (percentage)  | Librarian's Report on subscription and usage of eresourses validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | Librarian's Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | Details o                                 | IV - INFRASTRUCTURE & LEAI   |               | Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | Properly signed MOUs     Department wise Report on follow up Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | -   |
| Grade A, if Policy and Report are maintained Grade B, if only Policy or Report is maintained Grade C, if nothing is available   | IT Infrastructure  | Grade A, if more than 60% Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade B, if 50% of Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade C, if less than 50% Teachers and Students make use of Library | Grade A, if All the Teachers and Students make use of the eresources Grade B, if 50% of Teachers and Students make use of the eresources Grade C, if below 50% Teachers and Students make use of the eresources | Grade A, if 100% automation is done Grade B, if partially done<br>Grade C, if not automated             | Details of Library as a Learning Resource | IV - INFRASTRUCTURE & LEARNING RESOURCES (Not covered under format - I)  |               | Grade A, if 2 workshops/seminars are conducted Grade B, if 1 workshop/seminar is conducted Grade C, if no workshop/seminar is conducted | Grade A, if all Departments have functional MOUs Grade B, if 50% Departments have functional MOUs Grade C, if below 50% Departments have functional MOUs   | attended<br>Grade C, if 5 Students attended |
| >   |  | >  | С   | С   |   |  | В             | C .   | >  | >   |
| Α   |  | A  | С   | С   |   | 100  | В             | С   | >  | >   |
|   |  |  |   |   | Q.  |  |               |   |  |   |

| or students placed of students placed Grade B, it 30% Grade C, if less than 30% of students placed   | of students place<br>Grade C, if less th                         | 1.Records by the JKC and Departments 2. Proof of employment                                | 2 years (only final year students)   | ω       |
|--|--|--|--|---------|
|  |  |  | Average Percentage of students benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counseling by the Institution during last               |         |
|  |  |  | d) ICT/ Computer Skills  |         |
|  |  | Shemon 11  | c) Life Skills (Yoga, Physical Fitness/<br>Health and Hygiene)   | ******* |
| and a second sec | 8080   | validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | b) Language and Communication Skills   | 444     |
| Grade B. if any 3 areas are covered  Grade B. if any 3 areas are covered   |  | Report by JKC and Physical Education Department  | a) Soft Skills   | 9111    |
|  |  |  | the last 2 years   |         |
|  |  | 50 407   |  | 2       |
|  |  |  | Capacity building and skill enhancement  | dolo    |
|  |  |  | f) Philanthropists   | 2,910   |
|  |  |  | e) Individuals   |         |
|  |  |  | d) Industries  |         |
| offered by any 3 bodies Grade C, if benefit offered by 3 bodies  | offered by any 3 bo  | Principal  | c) Non - Governmental bodies   | - 4.0   |
| t offered by all the 6 bodies Grade B, if benefit  | Grade A, if benefi   | Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by  | b) Institution   | 2.00    |
|  |  |  | a) Government  |         |
|  |  |  | years  |         |
|  |  | 1  | Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two   | 1       |
| ort  | Student Support  |  |  | >       |
| ot covered under format - I)   | V-STUDENT SUPPORT AND PROGRESSION (Not covered under format - I) | V-STUDENT SU   |  |         |
|  |  |  | Overall Grade  | I       |
| Grade A, if Minutes and Report are maintained Grade B, if only<br>Minutes or Report is maintained Grade C, if nothing is available   |  | 1. Finance Committee Meeting Minutes 2. Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | b) mentinastructure Facility b) maintenance of facilities (Classrooms, Equipment,sports, Auditorium & seminar halls etc.) excluding salary component | v       |
|  |  |  | Expenditure incurred for the last two years on :   | 11      |

| 9 8  | , 8 .  | В   | 7 R  | 6 B  | 5 p   | 4 3  |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Students' Progression to Employment  | Students' Progression to Higher Education  |   | Record of Women Empowerment Cell (WEC)   | The Institution has a transparent mechanism for timely redressal of: A.Sexual harassment B. Ragging  | Percentage of students participated and presented papers/ posters in the state level/national levels academic/research plafrorms out side the parent institution. | Student grievances Redressal mechanism<br>, No. of grievances received & Redressed during<br>last 2 years  |
| 1. Department wise Reports with proof of Joining 2. Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal      | 1. Department wise Reports with proof of Admission 2. Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal    | and the second and the second | <ol> <li>WEC Meeting Minutes</li> <li>Committee Report on activities</li> <li>Report on Gender sensitisation and related<br/>activities</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Anti ragging Policy and Policy to prevent Sexual harrassment</li> <li>Committee(s) Report(s) about grievance redressal</li> <li>Proofs and Meeting Minutes</li> </ol> | 1. Department wise reports validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | Student grievances Redressal mechanism  7. Student grievances Redressal Policy 2. Report by  7. No. of grievances received & Redressed during GRC incharge validated by IQAC and verified by  8. Principal |
| Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are avilable Grade C, if no record is maintained | Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are avilable Grade C, if no record is maintained | Student Progression   | Grade A, if All the 3 are covered Grade B, if any 2 are covered, Grade C, if only WEC exists   | Grade A, if All the 3 aspects are covered Grade B, if any 2 aspects are covered, Grade C, if only policies exist   | Grade A, if 50% of students participate Grade B, if 30% of Students participate Grade C, if less than 30% of Students participate                                 | Grade A, if all grievances are redressed as per the policy<br>Grade B, if 50% of grievances are redressed as per the policy<br>Grade C, if less than 50% of grievances are redressed as per the<br>policy  |
| В  | >  |   | >  | >  | С   | >  |
| В  | >  |   | ٨  | ٨  | c   | >  |
|  |  |   |  |  |   |  |

| I.   |   |   |   |  |  |          |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|----------|
| Alternation of the second  | Α | Þ | Grade A, if the Institution achieves Grade A throughout the year Grade B, if the Institution achieves Grade A ocassionally Grade C, if the Instituion achieves B & C grades       | Grade report   | Implementation of OTLP   | 4        |
|  | Α | > | Grade A, if Staff Council meets regularly and implements resolutions through meetings Grade B, if Staff Council resolutions properly recorded Grade C, if Staff Council is formed | Meeting Minutes and Resolutions of Staff Council   | Staff Council Register (Minutes of meetings)   | ω.       |
|  | > | > | Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only one is maintained Grade C, if only committees are constituted  | 1. List of Committees showing decentralization 2. Meeting Minutes and Action Plans by all committees Grade C, if only committees are constituted   | Explain the Insitutional Practices like decentralization and participative management to show case effective leadership  | . 2      |
|  | > | Þ | Grade A, if strategic plan is in action Grade B, if the mechanism is in planning stage Grade C, if nothing is available   | Institutional Strategic Plan to achieve Vision and<br>Mission with stage wise proofs   | Mechanism of achieving the Vision and Mission of Institutional Strategic Plan to achieve Vision and the Institution  (Describe in 200 words)  Mission with stage wise proofs | н        |
|  |   |   | Institutional Vision and Leadership   | Institu  | A  | Α        |
| To the second se |   |   | VI-GOVERNANCE AND LEADERSHIP  | VI-GOVE  |  |          |
|  | A | Α |   | 5 to 10 to 10 to 20 to 10 to 1 | Overall Grade  | T        |
|  | С | С | Grade A, if 4 and above Grade B, if 2 and above Grade C, if one and below   | 1. Meeting minutes 2. Consolidated reports   | Number of Alumni Association Chapters 19 / meetings held during the last 2 years   | 15       |
| Alumni   | С | С | Grade A, if 2 lakhs and above<br>Grade B, if 1 lakh above Grade C, if below one lakh  | Alumni Register to be verified along with audited statements of amount (in cash/ Kind) donated   | 18 Alumni contribution for the last 2 years  | <u> </u> |
|  | n |   | Grade A, if Alumni Association is registered and active; Grade B, if Association is registered Grade C, if Association is not registered  | Registration certificate to be verified  | Is there registered Alumni Association for the institution? If yes, year of registration and number  | 13       |
|  |   |   | Alumni Engagement   |  | ,D   |          |
|  | > | > | Grade A, if 50% of students participate Grade B, if 30% of<br>Students participate<br>Grade C, if less than 30% of Students participate   | Committee reports validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  | Percentage of Student participation in sports and cultural events/ competitions for the last 2 years (Programme wise) No of students participated/Total No of students x 100 | 21       |

| nstitution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  In:  E in  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal  Paculiend Therefor  Years  Years  The consolidated report by Principal  Faculient  Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  In the consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  The consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  The consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal                 | The second secon |
|--|--|
| ucture of the Institution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  In:  fe - governance in  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal  sions and support  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal  Facul  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal | mmittee Grade A, if more than 75% staff attend Grade B, if more than 50% staff attend Grade C, if less than 50% staff attend   |
| ucture of the Institution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  In:  Fe - governance in  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal counts  ions and support  shop and membership for schop and membership for validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal  Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal   | Grade A, if more than 5 programmes are organized Grade B, if more than 3 programmes are organized Grade C, if less than 3 programmes are organized   |
| ucture of the Institution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  Institution  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal counts  ions and support  Facul  Facul   |  |
| ucture of the Institution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  Institution  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal ions and support   | Faculty Empowerment Strategies   |
| Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  In:  fe-governance in  Softwares and records to be verified  Consolidated report by Principal  counts  ions and support  |  |
| ucture of the Institution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  fe - governance in  Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal   |  |
| ucture of the Institution  Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges  Interpretation  Fe-governance in  Softwares and records to be verified  Consolidated report by Principal  | 0) 10  |
| Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges In: Softwares and records to be verified  | components exis  |
| Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges   |  |
| Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges   | Institutional e - povernance   |
| c  |  |
| ·  | Grade A. if Organogram with hierarchy incharges proposed   |
| Number of RTI issues addressed RTI file with applications, responses and registers time  | Grade B, if the applications are not disposed within the stipulated time Grade C, if record is not properly maintained   |

•

|   | - |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
|   |   |  |  | Details of Activities by the Institution for   |
|   |   | Activities that teach valules of the Institution   | Activities   | Α  |
|   |   | VII-INSTITUTIONAL VALUES AND BEST PRACTICES  | OLTUTITSNI-IIA   |  |
| А | Α |  |  | Overall Grade  |
| С | С | Grade A, if ranked Grade B, if participated Grade C, if not participated   | 1. Record of submission 2. Proof of Rank, if any.  | 15 Participation in NIRF   |
|   |   |  |  | for promoting quality culture per year   |
| > | > | Grade A, if all the records are maintained Grade B, if the first four records are maintained Grade C, if any 3 records or below are maintained   | years  6. Hard copies of AQARs submitted   | d. Number of IQAC meetings held during the current year e. Average number of quality initiatives by IQAC   |
|   |   |  | <ol> <li>IQAC Activity register</li> <li>Action Plan</li> <li>Minutes of Meeting</li> <li>ATR</li> <li>Proof of submission of AQAR to NAAC last two</li> </ol> | Significant Contribution of IQAC for quality a. Strategies and Plan of Action b. Review mechanisms of Teaching learning process, methodologies and learning outcomes by IQAC |
|   |   | Internal Quality Assurance System  |  | Е  |
| Α | Α | Grade A, if more than Rs. 3 lakhs received Grade B, if more than Rs. 1 lakh received Grade C, if less than Rs. 1 lakh received   | Consolidated Report verified by Princpal   | Funds / Grants received from nongovernment bodies, individuals, philanthropists (other than research)  |
| > | > | Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only external audit is conducted Grade C, if no audit record is maintained   | <ol> <li>Internal AuditCommittee constitution Circular</li> <li>Financial Audit Reports</li> </ol>   | Institutional mechanism for internal and external financial audits   |
|   |   | Financial Management and Resource Mobilization   | Financial Mar  | מֿ   |
| > | > | Grade A, if more than 75% teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade Grade B, if more than 50 % teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade Grade C, if less than 50% teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade | ASAR reports   | Details of performance appraisal system 11 designed by instituion for Teaching and non- teaching staff   |
|   |   |  |  |  |

|                                       | С | O | Grade A, if all 4 reports are present Grade B, if any 3 are present<br>Grade C, if any 2 or below     | Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | environment a)Ramps b)Railings c)Scribe for examination d)Provision of lift/Wheel chairs e) Alternative arrangements for Divyangan                                  |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | C | O | Grade A, if all 6 reports are present Grade B, if any 4 are present<br>Grade C, if any 3 or below     | Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | of  |   |
| E                                     | > | > | Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present   | Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | D) Water Conservation a)Rain water harvesting b)Borewell /Open well recharge  | , |
|                                       | С | С | Grade A, if all 4 reports are present Grade B, if any 3 are present<br>Grade C, if any 2 or below     | Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | c) Waste Management a)Solid waste management b)Liquid waste management c)Lab Waste management d)E-waste management  |   |
|                                       | В | В | Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present<br>Grade C, if any one is present | Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | B) Environmental consciousness and sustainability a)Solar energy, b)Sensor-based energy conservation, c)Use of LED bulbs/ power efficient equipment                 |   |
|                                       | > | > | Grade A, if all 4 reports are present Grade B, if any 3 are present<br>Grade C, if any 2 or below     | Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | A) Promotion of gender equality and sensitiztion a) Safety and security b) Counselling c) Common Rooms for women students d) Details about sensitization activities | 1 |

|   | 0  | Sensiti Institu aware values a)Libe b)Fund c)Resp   | d) Celeb<br>commen<br>festivals   | ) c) Co   | b) Hu  | Cross cut a) Tolerar diversities i)Cultural i) Regions iv) Commi  | В                                  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Best Practices  |  | zation of students and employees of the tion to the constitutional obligations/ness programmes on Constitutional rty, Equality, Fraternity, Justice lamental rights and duties onsibilities of citizenship. | ration of National and International<br>norative days and   | c) Code of condct for students and teachers   | b) Human values and professional ethics  | ting issues and value initiatives ce and harmony against several s s al iii]Linguistic unal sconomic              |                                    |
| i) Departmental Best Practices Reportin the NAAC prescribed format ii) Institutional Best Practice Report in the NAAC prescribed format | Record of two best/innovative practices by the institution | College Activity Register & Report focusing on<br>details and activities validated by IQAC and verified<br>by Principal   | College Activity Register & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | Approved Policy & Report on implementation with details validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | Syllabus copy, College Activity Register & Report (Cousing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | College Activity Register & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal | Initiat                            |
| Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present                                     |  | Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present<br>Grade C, if any one is present   | Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present               | Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present | Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present Grade C, if any one is present                               | Grade A, if all 5 reports are present Grade B, if any 4 are present<br>Grade C, if any 3 or below                 | Initiatives for inculcating values |
| >   |  | A   | >   | Α   | <b>&gt;</b> .  | O   |                                    |
| A   |  | Þ   | >   | Α   | Α  | n   |                                    |
|   |  |   |   |   |  |   |                                    |

| D    |  | In   | Institutional Distinctiveness  |     |  |             |
|------|--|--|--|-----|--|-------------|
|      | Explain how disctinct is your institution in academic and administrative practices:          | Grade A, if Evidence with clear cut examples - Document in 200 Grade B, if words available | Grade A, if Academic and Administrative practices are produced Grade B, if only one is produced Grade C, if no document is available | >   | >  |             |
|      | Overall Grade  |  |  | >   | Α  |             |
|      |  | College Grade -  |  | A   | Α .  |             |
|      | Name & Signature of the Principal:   | Name & Signature of the Principal: bv. C. Yo gangan cyulm. C. J                            | 1/1/8/90   | Nam | Name & Signatures of the Academic Advisors | ic Advisors |
|      | Name & Signature of the Vice- Principal: S. Wagendy  | S. Norman S(77:  |  | 2 . | Crano 6.3.25                               |             |
| Note | Note: This format is to be filled by the Principal and submitted to the Academic Audit Team. | submitted to the Academic Audit Team.  |  | ω   |  |             |
|      |  |  |  |     |  |             |

•

.

•

. .